GIB 15,000 20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898 & 1899
~~191~~.
(Murzai)

File No. 4

(Section 9

Serial Nos. 1-17

Papers Filed.

Month October 1906

SUBJECT.

Sanctions ~~300 pounds of~~ the grant of 30 seers of Rice per mensem to one Srinivasiah, late Record-Keeper, Mandya Taluk, from the Govt. Arma Chatt...

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES.

155

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:—

Grant of rice allowance to one Ramanasiah from the Amma Chattram at Tiruvangapatam

LAST REFERENCE.

~~Letter~~ ~~Letter~~ No. 135 dated 11th September 1903 ~~form~~ from the Auditor of Tiruvangapatam

It having been found out from the Magani Returns of the Tiruvangapatam Taluk for the month of April 1903 that a sum of Rs 4-2-6 was being paid monthly from the Amma Chattram at Tiruvangapatam, as rice allowance, to one Ramanasiah who was the Record-Keeper of the Munsiffs Taluk, the Auditor of Tiruvangapatam was directed

to quote the authority under which the amount was ~~paid~~ disbursed to him as a subsistence allowance. In reply he has submitted the original order of the Dy Commr on the subject.

2. From the order (No 8 dated 13th September 1902) it is observed that Srinivasiah, Late Record-keeper of the Nanjangud Taluk, applied to the Dy Commr, Mr Ramaniya Iyengar, for some help to maintain his children and that the petition was referred by the Dy Commr to the "Sheristadar Officer" to "do the needful" with the observation that it was "a deserving case for charity from a Chattram". Mr C. B. Seshagiri Rao thereupon issued an order to the Amildar of Seringapatam, directing the grant of 30 seers of rice with condiments from the

(3)

Anna Chattram at Sunamganjpatam

The order is signed by him "for Dy Comr".

3. Sanction of Govt was not applied for in this case –

Pro no 2474-82
Mung Fr 34-93
dated 8th June 1903

4 A copy of the ~~An~~ order issued by Government under similar circumstances is put up for reference.

Submitted

13/11/03

Under what circumstances was the man considered deserving of a free allowance from the Chattram? Does he not get a pension?

Please get complete info from Dy Com.

14/11/03

Contd

From the correspondence it is observed that the man received the appointment after 15 years service and gets no pension. The Dy Com missioner states that he is unable to maintain his family with 5 children (that he has neither moveable nor immoveable properties) and that he is in a helpless condition

Submitted

30/3/04

No 254 d. 7.3.04

152

Submitted to the Secretary.

~~This~~ The Dy. Commr. had no authority to give the allowance in question without the sanction of Govt. The recipient is however stated to be a man deserving help and has five children to support. On the whole I think it is better to limit the allowance for a period of 4 or 5 years unless the man dies in the interval.

[illegible]
6 4 04

17036
7.11.04

19785

Dis. commr. & represented the officers concerned for granting this without the same kind of sort & contrary, to limit of order, before see the draft order

[illegible]
31.V.04

Recd. [illegible]
2/6/04

Draft submitted
14/6/04

Resubd. with a draft for approval.

[illegible]
14 6 04

18327
14.VI.04

Subd. Dewan's orders were conveyed and the Dy. Commr. was Govt. Pro. No. 3960-9 d. 22.6.04 requested to report upon the action taken by him

Off. recording the Dy. Commr's letter No. 39 d. 11.8.04

2/8/04 is put up. The petition calls for no remarks

[illegible]

× stating that the new allowance has already been issued for the end of May 1904 and arrangements have been made to disburse the same on the 1st August 1904.

Note continued:—

The allowance granted to Sreenivasiah has as reported by the D.C. been discontinued from the 1st August 1904. The Officers concerned who granted the allowance without the sanction of Govt. have been reprimanded

vide orders o 3960-9 d 22nd Dec 1904. printed copy put up

The petr. has presented a petn. in person (having come here with his 4 children who he states have lost their mother recently) and represents that he was Record Keeper in the Mandya Taluk Office till 1899 when wishing to retire from the turmoils of this world, he resigned his Office and that as he had been reduced to miserable circumstances being unable to maintain his wife & children the local Officers took pity on him and granted him the rice allowance referred to in the foregoing paragraphs of this note. Being given to understand that the all.ce

has been discontinued from the 1st August 1904,
he has come in person with his children
and implores that the Govt may be graciously
pleased to order the continuance of the allce
which is the only means he has to maintain
himself & his children. He states in
his petn that he did not ask nor get
any gratuity or pension for the services
he rendered.

Submitted
27/8/04

The order of the 22nd June 1904
directed the stoppage of the
Giri allowance and the Officers
who had granted it were
censured.

The Petnr's condition however
is deplorable.

The question is whether
in view of the utterly helpless
condition of the Petnr and his 4 young
children, the allce may not be
restored to him.

The Secy, Chairman (Chairman can decide)
Minutes Summary
27/8/04

57
29/8/04

Submit to the Chairman
through the Secy

(-7)

(492)

If the Dewan is inclined to entertain this allowance, it seems the doing away with the practice of keeping poor motherless children, does not entitle him to this allowance, because the man is able and physically fit to earn his livelihood and to maintain his family. The case deserves favourable consideration.

The man appears to be about 50 years old. I cannot say that he is physically unfit.
1/9/04

31/8/04

1/9/04

13/12
2/9/04

1460

The conditions laid by the Council are not adhered to present. No exception need be made & the benefit of our first order would be lost if we broke it ourselves, so soon

(Illegible)
2.IX.04

Recd
4/9/04

Draft 4/9/04

(4)

has been discontinued from the 1st August last,
he has come in person with his children
and implores that the Govt may be graciously
pleased to order the continuance of the allce
which is the only means he has to maintain
himself & his children. He states in
his petn that he did not ask nor get
any gratuity or pension for the services
he rendered.

The order of the 22nd June 1904
directed the stoppage of the
Gier allowance and the officers
who had granted it were
censured.

The Petnr's condition however
is deplorable.

The question is whether
in view of the utterly helpless
condition of the Petnr and his 4 young
children, the allce may not be
restored to him. The Secretary of State can afford it

Submitted
27/8/04

Submit to the Secretary
of State through the 2nd Mr.

57
29/8/04

27/8/04

(7)

If the Government is inclined to continue the allowance at any time hereafter, they may find it worth while to consider whether it should not be given to his family, who are in destitute circumstances.

The man appears to be about 50 years old. I cannot say that he is physically unfit.
1/9/04

If he is a good man, he ought to be encouraged and helped to return to his home and to maintain his family, for a very, very, very, very little expenditure.

31/8/04

1312
2/9/04

1/9/04

Recommendations made by the Tom Moi are not adequately present. No exception need be made & the effect of our frontier would be lost if we broke it ourselves, so soon

2.IX.04

Recd
4/9/04

Draft 4/9/04

note continued (8)

The Dewan's order referred to in the overleaf was communicated to the petitioner.

He has submitted a memorial to H.H. the Maharaja alleging that, during 1899, with a view to retire from the bustle of life, he had to resign his appointment; that he did not ask nor get any gratuity or pension for his services; that he, thereupon, went on a pilgrimage to Rameswara and other sacred places; that, during the interval, his wife died and the protection of 4 helpless children devolved upon him; that he ~~represente~~ represented his miserable condition in life to the then Deputy Commr., who was kind enough to take pity on him and his children, and created a living in the shape of certain allowance from the chattram at Sreerangapatam; that the allowance ~~was~~ had been hardly sufficient for his maintenance; that he was deprived of his means of living for no fault of his own; and praying that, as he had nothing to live upon, Government might be pleased to order the continuance of the allowce. or he and his children ~~had~~ have to die of starvation.

The petition has been referred to this office for disposal.

Submitted 22/2/05

21/2/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

This man's case was before the Dewan and the 2nd Councillor in August and Septr 1904, and his petition was rejected. He has now memorialized H.H. the Maharaja.

Submitted for orders to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor / Chandrashekhar 22/2/05

Recd 22.2.05

I see no reason to reconsider his case.

24/2/05

Hd 25/2/05

5027 / 25.2.05 5172

I have said enough already on this matter. The man gives up a prosperous new business for good to idle away his time. This is certainly not deserving of encouragement.

5.III.05

Recd 7/3/05 Draft accordingly 7/3/05

Clerk 7/3

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01.

(46)

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 8th June 1903

No. $\frac{2474\text{-}82}{\text{Muz. F. No. } 34\text{-}93}$

Directing, with certain observations the discontinuance of the rice allowance un authorizedly granted from the Government chattram at Melkote to one Lakshmi Nara simhachar of Mysore.

SBP—22-6-03—500

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 8th June 1903.

READ —

Government Proceedings No. 1730-8, dated 27th February 1903, directing that new Nehimidars should on no account be admitted into the chattrams without the sanction of Government.

AND —

Correspondence ending with letter No. 296, dated 5th May 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No. 2474-82—MUZ. F. No. 34-93, DATED BANGALORE, 8TH JUNE 1903.

ORDER THEREON.—From the records received with the letter read above, it is observed that the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore issued orders sanctioning the grant to one Lakshmi Narasimhachar of Mysore of one seer of rice per diem with condiments or the payment of its equivalent value—about Rs. 7 per mensem—from the Muzrai annachattram at Melkote.

2. The chattram at Melkote, the feeding wherein was revived only in February 1902, has very little money (about Rs. 40 a month), and the Government have, in their Proceedings No. 1188-9 of the 7th February 1902, distinctly directed that this amount should be utilized solely for feeding *bonâ fide* travellers. By taking away from this small amount a sum of Rs. 7 a month, the object of the annachattram would certainly be defeated.

3. Upon an enquiry from this office as to how the rice allowance was granted without obtaining the previous sanction of Government, as directed in the Government Proceedings No. 23-32—Circular 1, dated 13th April 1892, it is explained that the allowance was granted by the Deputy Commissioner in the exercise of the enhanced powers vested in him on the abolition of the office of the Muzrai Superintendent, as per Government Proceedings No. 13343-53—Mis. 3495, dated 9th April 1901.

4. With reference to the above, the Government point out that the extension of powers referred to does not include fresh alienations of Government funds of the kind under reference. As the rice allowance in question is too much of a sequestration for a small chattram like that at Melkote, Government direct that it should be stopped. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report the action taken in the matter to Government for information.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Dy. Secy, Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the vernacular papers, and with spare copies for communication to Amildars and Deputy Amildars in the District.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts, with spare copies for distribution among Amildars and Deputy Amildars.

The Palace Controller, Mysore.

Exd.—G.V.

(44)

Sl1

No 112 వేద పురాణాలు శాంతి మంత్రములు చదివాయి

ఇలాంటి మంత్రం

2038
9/7/20

శ్రీ రంగనాథుడు శాంతి కాముకుడు అవుతాడు,
కృష్ణుడు.

శ్రీ రంగనాథుడు శాంతి కాముకుడు అన్నచో క్రుద్ధ
అవ్వని మంచి చేయాలని మనుష్యుడు
మంత్రాలాడుతారు.

గంధర్వులు మనుష్యులు
అన్నారు అంటే శాంతి కాముకుడు శ్రీ నారాయణ
అన్నగారు కృష్ణుడు క్రుద్ధుడు అయితే 4-2-6
కి వచ్చి శ్రీ చదివి మనుష్యులు -

ఈ శ్రీ నారాయణ అన్న ఎవరు? ఆయన
కృష్ణుడు కన్నా ఆయనకు మంచి మనుషులను
శాంతి పరిచి మనుష్యులు? ఎవరు కృష్ణుడు
కంటే? ఎలా క్షేమ సందేశాలు పంపుతారు? ఈ
కథ ఈలా తెలిసి కథలో సందర్భాలు ఆలోచిస్తే
దక్షిణ క్షేమ సందేశాలు ఆలోచిస్తే సందర్భాలు
ఉద్భవించి అదర అనేవాటి - కథ - అంశాలు
కొట్టుకుని కొంత చదివితే. చెప్పలేని పాత్రలు

ಕೋರಿಕೆಯನ್ನಾಧರಿಸಿ ತೈಲಸಸಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆ-ಲಂಕಾಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಿದೆ

ಶ್ರೀ. 27. 8. 03 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

Deputy Secretary,
Muzrai Department

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
476
14-9-03
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

42

శ్రీ రామకృష్ణ సంఘం కార్యదర్శి —

శ్రీ రామకృష్ణ దేవస్థానపు ట్రస్టీల సంఘపు కార్యదర్శి గారికి వ్రాయునది —

మీ లేఖ నెం. 139 తేదీ 13-9-903 కి మీరు వ్రాసిన లేఖ నెం. 8 కి సమాధానం. ఆ లేఖలో మీరు మా సంఘపు కార్యదర్శి గారి పేరు మీద వ్రాసిన లేఖ ఆ సంఘపు కార్యదర్శి గారి దగ్గర నుండి నాకు అందినది. ఆ లేఖలో మీరు 52 కి సంబంధించిన పత్రాలు కావలసినవి అని అడిగారు. వాటిని మీకు పంపించడమైనది. మీ లేఖ నెం. 8 కి సమాధానం ఇవ్వవలసినది.

10-9-903

కార్యదర్శి
శ్రీ రామకృష్ణ సంఘం

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1903—1904 Sl 2

From

ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Dated 21st November

Despatched

Received

General No. 1524
Muzrai F. No. 4-96

Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

It was observed from the monthly Muzrai Returns of the Sriranganapattam Taluka for April 1903, that a sum of Rs 4-2-6 was being paid every month in lieu of rice allowance, in cash from the Muzrai Amildar's Chattram at Sriranganapattam, to one Sriniwasiah, who was the Record-keeper of

With reference to his Kandaresa Order Muz. No 8 dated 13. 9. 02 directing the Amildar, Seringapatam Taluk to grant from the Annachattram at Seringapatam to Sriniwasiah, one record-keeper of the Nandya Taluk 30 seers of rice with Lindnigats per mensem, has the honor to request to be informed as to the circumstances

1 Cha

(2)

under which he was considered deserving and whether he gets any pension or not.

Dy Secretary
Rev. Deptt.

the [illegible] Tahsildar. As the payment appeared not to have been authorized by Govt., the Tahsildar of the Sivaganga Taluk was asked to ~~[illegible]~~ quote the authority under which the amount was being disbursed to the Tamil Iruvasinth as a [illegible] allowance. In reply, he has submitted the original order No 8 dated 13th September 1903 issued by the Hon'ble Commr. No 2

Mr Ramanujayyar,
directing the Amildar
to give 30 seers of rice &
condiments every month
to the said Sreenivasiah.
It is hereunto said for reference
and obliging return.

2. I am to observe
that — Neither the order
nor the petition of
Sreenivasiah on which
the orders were passed
by the Dy Commissioner
explains the circumstances
of the grantee under
which he was considered
deserving — Neither did
Mr Ramanujayyar make
a reference in this case to Government,
applying for sanction,
as required by ~~paras 3~~
~~of the~~ Govt orders
issued from time to
time on the subject. It
is also not clear if by

the ~~[illegible]~~ petitioner should have been given any help from the Chatterjee, so long as he was a Govt. employee. ~~Did~~ Does he not get any pension?

3. I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish complete information on the points noted above.

4 An early reply is requested.

I have &c

17/11/03

Dy Secretary
Mercantile Dept

Dft

18/11/03

1903-04 (?) Sl 3

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2146
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

From M Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasam Rao Esq BA
Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Dept

Dated 7th March 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 254
No.

Enclosure No 146

Reporting on the continuance of rice allowance to the Sheristadar late Record Keeper of the Mandya Taluk from the Serving abatam Annachattram.

Recd
9/3/04
RS

RK

Please continue the
Office note for orders
RS
28/3/04

Inuzrai Department

Dated Mysore 7th March 1904

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq; B.A.

Secretary to the Government of

Mysore in the Inuzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1324 dated the 21st November 1903 calling for report regarding the continuance of rice allowance to one Srenivasia, late record keeper of the Mandya Sub-k, from the Seringapatam Amachattram, I have the honor to transmit herewith the correspondence ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's yadi No. 1094 dated the 26th February 1904. It will be observed from the above that the said Srinivasia is enjoying no pension, that he is unable to maintain his family and that he is in a helpless condition.

2 The enclosures received with the above is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District.

Murzai. (36)

Sl 4.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 254 dt 7th March 1901 from the Dy Commr, Mysore dt. reporting on the grant of some rice allowance to one Sreenivasiah from the Anna Chatram at Sreerangapatam

Subd to the Dewan for approval.
hrs
14 6 '04

———— " ————

3960-9 of 22/6/04.
M-7-N04-98
Enfile-

Order thereon:—

In the course of checking the monthly Murzai accounts of the Sreerangapatam Taluk

for April 1903 it was

observed that a ~~cash~~

sum of Rs 4-2-6 was

being paid to one Sreenivasiah

from the funds of the

Anna Chatram at Sreerangapattam

in lieu of the rice allowance

granted to him. The

authority sanctioning

the allowance in question

was called for & from

the records received with

the letter read above—

it is observed that one

Sreenivasiah late record

keeper of the Mandya

Valuk applied to the
Dy Commr. Mr. N. Ramanuja
Iyengar for some help
to maintain himself
and his family. The
Officer referred the matter
to the Muzrai Officer for
needful action with
the observation that
it was a deserving case
for Charity from a Chattram.
Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao
thereupon issued an order
to the Amildar sanctioning
the grant of 30 seers of

rice with condiments

monthly from the Sreerangapatam

Chatram.

2. The Govt. have

from time to time pointed

out that it is undesirable

to admit new Mahimdars

in the Chatrams, the funds

of which are solely intended

for feeding bona fide travellers.

As the allowance granted

to Sreenivasiah is too much

of a sequestration for the

Chatram, the Govt. direct

that it should be stopped.

The action taken should

be reported for the information

6

of Govt. The Govt. regret that the conduct of the Officers of Mysore Commission & Govt. concerned has been highly irregular in sanctioning the allowance without obtaining the sanction of Govt. and contrary to standing orders on the subject.

Scrap in Mysore Subject file

MMD
15/1/04

Recd
17/1/04

Mr. Secy.

To
The Dy Commr. Mysore Dt.
The Jt. Re cot Dy Commr. of Chital Drug Dt.
Dy Commrs of other Dts. for info.
Palace Cont. Mysore.
Regr. Chief Court of Mysore.
Commr. for H.E.H. Seshamma's

(39)

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 22nd June 1904

No. 3960-9 / Muz. F. 4-98

Directing the stoppage of rice allowance to Srinivasiah from the Annachattram at Seringapatam with certain observations.

SBP—4-7-04—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 22nd June 1904.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 254, dated 7th March 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the grant of some rice allowance to one Srinivasiah, from the Annachattram at Seringapatam.

No. 3960-9—M. F. 4-98, DATED BANGALORE, 22ND JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—In the course of checking the monthly Muzrai accounts of the Seringapatam Taluk for April 1903, it was observed that a sum of Rs. 4-2-6 was being paid to one Srinivasiah from the funds of the Annachattram at Seringapatam in lieu of the rice allowance granted to him. The authority sanctioning the allowance in question was called for and from the records received with the letter read above it is observed that one Srinivasiah, late record-keeper of the Mandya Taluk, applied to the Deputy Commissioner Mr. H. Ramanujiengar for some help to maintain himself and his family. That Officer referred the matter to the Muzrai Officer for needful action with the observation that it was a deserving case for charity from a chattram. Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, thereupon issued an order to the Amildar sanctioning the grant of 30 seers of rice with condiments monthly, from the Seringapatam chattram.

2. The Government have from time to time pointed out that it is undesirable to admit new Nehimidars in the chattrams, the funds of which are solely intended for feeding *bona fide* travellers. As the allowance granted to Srinivasiah is too much of a sequestration for the chattram, the Government direct that it should be stopped. The action taken should be reported for the information of Government. The Government regret that the conduct of the Officers concerned, *viz.*, Mr. Ramanujiengar, Deputy Commissioner, and Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, Sub-Judge, has been highly irregular in sanctioning the allowance without obtaining the sanction of Government and contrary to standing orders on the subject.

Draft

Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning records.
The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts, for information.
The Palace Controller, Mysore.
The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, for communication to Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao

29

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 22nd June 1904

No. 3960-9 / Muz. F. 4-98

Directing the stoppage of rice allowance to Srinivasiah from the Annachattram at Seringapatam with certain observations.

SBP—4-7-04—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 22nd June 1904.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 254, dated 7th March 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the grant of some rice allowance to one Srinivasiah, from the Annachattram at Seringapatam.

No. 3960-9—M. F. 4-98, DATED BANGALORE, 22ND JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—In the course of checking the monthly Muzrai accounts of the Seringapatam Taluk for April 1903, it was observed that a sum of Rs. 4-2-6 was being paid to one Srinivasiah from the funds of the Annachattram at Seringapatam in lieu of the rice allowance granted to him. The authority sanctioning the allowance in question was called for and from the records received with the letter read above it is observed that one Srinivasiah, late record-keeper of the Mandya Taluk, applied to the Deputy Commissioner Mr. H. Ramanujiengar for some help to maintain himself and his family. That Officer referred the matter to the Muzrai Officer for needful action with the observation that it was a deserving case for charity from a chattram. Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, thereupon issued an order to the Amildar sanctioning the grant of 30 seers of rice with condiments monthly, from the Seringapatam chattram.

2. The Government have from time to time pointed out that it is undesirable to admit new Nehimidars in the chattrams, the funds of which are solely intended for feeding *bona fide* travellers. As the allowance granted to Srinivasiah is too much of a sequestration for the chattram, the Government direct that it should be stopped. The action taken should be reported for the information of Government. The Government regret that the conduct of the Officers concerned, *viz.*, Mr. Ramanujiengar, Deputy Commissioner, and Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, Sub-Judge, has been highly irregular in sanctioning the allowance without obtaining the sanction of Government and contrary to standing orders on the subject.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning records.
The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts, for information.
The Palace Controller Mysore.
The Registrar Chief Court of Mysore, for communication to Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao.

Ext—6 s

Rs



15/8/04

Rupees

1904-05 (27) Sl5

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasamy Rao Esq BA.
Secretary to Govt. Muzr Dept.

Dated 11th August 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 39
No. 1

Enclosure No.

Reporting that the rice allowance granted to Sreenivasiah has been stopped with effect from the 1st August 1904 - as ordered. —

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 11th August 1904.

To.

C. Anantasami Rao Esq, B.A
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 2960-2 / 4-98 dated 22nd June 1904 directing the stoppage of rice allowance granted to Srenivasiah in the Anna Chatram at Srirangapatam, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Srirangapatam reports in his No 83 dated 29th July 1904 that the rice allowance in question has already been disbursed to the said Srenivasiah till the end of July 1904 and that arrangements have been made not to disburse the same to him from the 1st August 1904.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sl 6

Read :-

Govt. Pro No. 3960-9 / Mun. F. 4-98 dated 22nd June 1904 directing the stoppage of rice allowance to Srinivasiah from the Cumachattram at Seringapatam, ~~and~~ reprimanding the officers concerned in granting the rice allowance without the sanction of Govt. and contrary to standing orders on the subject and directing the Deputy Commissioner to report upon the action taken by them for the information of Govt.

And,

Letter No. 39 dated 11th August 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District stating that the rice allowance has already been disbursed to the said Srinivasiah till the end of June 1904 and that arrangements have been

made not to disburse the same
to him from the 1st August 1904.

Order thereon: No 851. dy 31/8/04.

Recorded.

27/9/04

bfk.

Secretary
Mun: Dept

31/8/04

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Mysore Dt.

(23)

793

817

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
793.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

24/8/04

[illegible]

24/8/04

MYSORE GO

1085

SL10

15/9/04

Refer, please this means case has been decided please put up the file

1/10/04

(29)

నమస్కారములతో సమర్పించుకొనునది
నమస్కారములు ఇలాంటివి ఉన్నవి.

నం: 1217
28:9:04.

శ్రీరంగనాథస్వామి దేవస్థానం సంబంధించిన ఆస్థాన
ఆర్థిక శాఖలోని శ్రీనివాసులు గారి
ఉద్యోగ క్రమబద్ధీకరణ.

ఉత్తరువు నం: 7: తేది 20.4-98.

నిజనిత్యోత్సవ ఆస్థాన విధిగా పనిచేస్తున్న
30 సంవత్సరాలు పైబడి పనిచేస్తున్నట్లు
రుజువులతో సమర్పించిన ఆధారాలను పరిశీలించి
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
~~20.8.04 నాటి నుండి~~ 24.8.04 నాటి నుండి 793 రూ
~~[illegible]~~
తగు.

~~[illegible]~~

Dewan's orders

నం: 1218
28/9/04

Dy

By order
23/9/04
Secretary

Copy forwarded to the

Dy Comr, Supply Dt, in continuation

of Govt Ltr no 3960-9 dated 22nd

June 1904

Dt-

ks
7/9/04

B
25/9/04

(18) Office of the Private Secretary to H H the Maharajah of Mysore
13th February 1905.
Sl 12

Submit for orders
ks
20/2/05

Petition, as shown below, is transferred to the Secretary to Govt. Mez. Deptt. Bangalore, for disposal.

By order,
sd/ E. Venkatanarasiah
Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja

No: 1440, of '04-05.

Copy

Av
Please continue the office note for orders
ks.
21/2/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3024.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

17

For disposal

[illegible]

(5)

యిరుప్పియం.

విషయము శ్రీరంగపట్టుద పశ్చిమంద్వారపాలకులవారు మరియు ప్రాకారగతిని శేషశయ్యాంగదచే రంగ్రహ 1217 శి యిరుప్పియం శేషాద్రిశర్మ-దేవిచే యవంశప్రకారము ఉభయకర్తృకాళ్ళవదరచే ప్రయోగపరచిచే-శిలాంకనము.

Sd/-
11.10.00

(15) Sl 12

మైసూరు సచివాలయం నుండి వచ్చిన లేఖ

నకలు.

మైసూరు రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం లోక పనుల శాఖ

నం. 3457 తేదీ 4-3-05 శ్రీ పి. రామచంద్రరావు గారు రంగనాథస్వామి

దేవాలయ సంబంధించిన రికార్డులను తిరిగి ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా

అభ్యర్థించారు.

కనుక ఈ రంగనాథస్వామి దేవస్థానం

సంబంధించిన రికార్డులన్నీ మీ వద్ద ఉన్నట్లయితే వీలైనంత త్వరగా

తిరిగి ఇవ్వగలరు. కేవలం ఈ సంగతి మాత్రమే కాకుండా రంగనాథ

స్వామి దేవాలయ సర్వస్వం ~~[illegible]~~ అనే అంశానికి సంబంధించి

మరియు రికార్డుల ప్రకారం 13 గ్రామాలు

1440/3024 గ్రామాలు మీ అధీనంలో ఉన్నట్లు

కనుక దయచేసి తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకోగలరు. ~~[illegible]~~

వైద్యశాఖ లేఖ నకలు మరియు ~~[illegible]~~ సర్వస్వం రికార్డులు

~~[illegible]~~ చేసి పంపవలసిందిగా కోరడమైనది. ఇట్లు

కనుక మీ సేవలో ఉన్న రికార్డులు తీసుకుని తిరిగి

ఇవ్వగలరు

By order,

Dewan's order) 15/3/05

Secretary.

నం. 3458.

Copy forwarded to the Dy Comr

Mysore వారికి for information.

By order,

13/3/05

Secretary.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT MUSEUM
5608

G.R.S. 29A]

(14)

Sl 16

1905-06

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Inspr.l Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 26th June 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. R. 16353

No. R. M-322-05-4

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request him to be so good as to forward for reference the Inspr.l file ending with Government Order No. 9500-9 R.M.4 of 1905 dated the 22nd June 1904.

By Order,

For Secretary.

vs

26/6/06

Muz. 1 A]

(13)

SL 15

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Gen: and Rev: Depttts.

Dated 4th
Despatched 4th July 1906.
Received

General No. 31
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. One File

His No. R 16355 dated
R. M. 322-05-4

the 26th June 1906, requesting the forwarding for reference of the Muzrai File ending with Govt Order No. 3960-9/M.F. 4 of 1898.

Has the honour to forward herewith the File above referred to regarding the grant of rice allowance to one

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

(12)

Sriniwasaiyd from the Anna-
Chatteram at Seringapatam
and to request ~~to~~ the return the
file when done with.

By Order,

30/6/06

Secretary to G.
Mysore Department

28/6/06

1905-06 (11) Sl 17

General and Revenue Secretariat

(R. m)

Notes

File No. 322 of 05

File Heading

Allowance of 30 seers of rice etc to Srinivasiah late Record Keeper of Mandya, till his case is settled.

From

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. 5722 Dated 19/12/05 Received 21/12/05

Diary No. 6148 Enclos 3 Spare Copies nil

Serial No. 1

Brief Abstract of Letter

Recommends that the allowance of 30 seers of rice etc may be continued to Srinivasiah late Record Keeper of Mandya till his case be settled on equitable grounds

---

Notes and orders

Draft submitted for approval

(10)

This is not allowance to be made for any religious services to be rendered but by way of provision to a man, who was unable to earn his livelihood through mental derangement. It does not seem therefore to be a muzmi matter. Please call for the entire record as to the circumstances under which it was granted discontinued and also a report as to whether the man is entitled to any gratuity or pension.

Intd. B.K.V.
24/12/05.

2 To,

The Rev: Commissioner in Mysore

G.O. No. R. 9204 of 6-1-06.

Calls for the records of the case to show under what circumstances the allowance was granted and discontinued together with a report as to whether the man is entitled to gratuity or pension.

From (9)

The Rev. Commissioner in Mysore.

Letter No. 11449 of 20. 6. 06

Forwards the records of the case & states that Srinivasiah was allowed to resign on his own request in 1899, in 1902 the deputy Commissioner Mr. H. Ramanujaiengar ordered a rice dole to him for life & that the dole was subsequently cancelled by orders conveyed in the Muzrai Department. He further states that the petitioner having put in a service of 12 years only is not entitled to a pension unless he produces a medical certificate as regards his physical fitness.

Submitted with a draft calling for the Muz: file ending with G.O. No. 3960-9/4 of 98 of 22.6-04.

4. To, The Muzrai Secretary.

G.O. No. R 16355 of 26. 6. 06

Calls for the Muzrai file ending with G.O. No. 3960-9 of 22-6-04

41

Jun.

The mur mi Secretary.

Docket No. 31 d/4.7.06

Forwards the file as desired

The Office note in the mur: file & the Govt: order No. 3960-9 d/22-6-04 give the facts of the case.

The Rev. Commissioner in his letter No. 11449 d/20.6-06 while observing that it is doubtful whether the peti is entitled to either pension or any gratuity, states that the petitioner by reason of his having put in a service of about 12 years should produce a medical certificate in case Government incline to grant him a pension and that he can easily get one.

Submitted for orders.

The case is one for disposal in Branch II

Sreenivasiah resigned of his own accord on the 17th April 1899. It is doubtful whether it would be expedient to go into the question of his eligibility

as a special case.

To Dewan through the 2nd Councillor
Into/ K.S.C.
13/6/08

155
14.7.08

Under the circumstances of the case I acquiesce in the recommendation made by the Secretary General & Revenue Department. From the Murzai file I see that the Murzai Secretary Mr. Anantasami Rau is also in favor of the grant judging from the tenor of his notes

The late Dewan ~~who~~ was quite opposed to the restitution of the rice dole. I think this is a case in which Considerations of mercy & charity should override the strict & rigid application of the rules for granting rice doles from annachatrams. Government has a moral obligation to see that its retired servants are not left totally destitute & helpless. Mr. A Ramanujaiengar though he

Censured, acted like a good Samaritan in coming to the rescue of this unfortunate man

I would restore the rice dole as a special act of clemency

Sd / K.P. Puttannachetty
2nd Councillor.

The Dewan agrees with the 2nd Councillor. The order may issue from the Muzrai Department.

Intd / C.S.B.
18/9/06.

Note & transfer to the Muzrai deptt.

Intd / K.S.C.
27/9/06

To,

Ovt. R 42 of 29-9-06 (Enc 1 Muz file)

Transferred to the Muzrai Secretariat

Sd/ Peter George D'Souza
Under Secretary

(4)

(Draft as per Dewan's orders)

Murzai 8/16

Read again :—

Govt. Pro. no 3960 - 9
Mux 74 - 98

of 22nd June 1900 directing the stoppage of rice allowance to Sreenivasiah, late Record Keeper of the Mandya Taluk from the Amalhathram at Sreerangapatam.

Also :—

Om. no 3452 of 16th March 1905 to the Dy Comm. of the Mysore District forwarding for information copy of endorsement granted to Sreenivasiah rejecting his memorial praying

for the continuance of the allowance to him.

And,

Correspondence ending with letter No. 11449 dd. 20th June 1906 from the Revenue Commr. in Mysore to the address of the Secy. to Govt. Genl. & Rev. Departments, reporting on the petn. of Sreenivasiah praying for a pension or gratuity

— a —

No 1922-5 dd. 3. X. 1906.
Exc. ne pte

Order thereon. —

In view of the destitute state and mental condition of Petnr. Sreenivasiah and of the large family depending upon ~~Sreenivasiah~~ him,

(2)

~~late Record Keeper in the~~

~~Taluk Office at Mandya~~

the Govt. are pleased to

as a special act of clemency,
sanction the restoration

to him of the rice dole

enjoyed by him before the

issue of the orders of 22nd

June 1906 read above. The

sanctioned rice allowance

will have effect from

the 1st September 1906.

30/7/06

Secy Mucy Dept

Dewan's order

30/7/06

To,

The Secy to Govt., G. & Rev. Dept
Rev. Commr in Mysore
Dy Commr, Mysore Dist
Comptr to the Govt of Mysore

No. 1906

Endt. to Sreenivasiah

Secy

Muz. 21]

(1) 3/19

ನಂ. 1926 ಸಿ/3-10-06

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಗಂಜಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಲಂಕ್ಯ ಶಾಲ್ಮಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕುರಿತು -

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ -

ಸರ್ವಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಹಾಚಲ ವರದಾಚಾರಿ
ನೀವು ~~ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ~~ 1905ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾಹೆ // ನೇ

ಮರ್ಕುಂR. 8 1524ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~[illegible]~~. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಪರಕಾಲ ಮಠದವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಕೈಫಿಯತ್ತು ಕೇಳಿ.

ಈಗಿನ ಶೇಷ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು, ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಗಂಜಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಾಲಂಕ್ಯ ಶಾಲ್ಮಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮೊಕ್ತೇಸರರು ~~[illegible]~~ ಶ್ರೀ ರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಟ್ಟದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಮೈಲಿ ದೂರದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ 30 ಕೇಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ~~[illegible]~~ ಸಿ ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ 1906 ಇಸವಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಉಳಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡ ಶಿಷ್ಟಾಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ~~ಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು~~ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದೆ -

GPB—1,000—18-6-06.

2/10/06

Secretary.